

Immunomodulatory effects of H.P. Acthar Gel on B cell development in the NZB/W F1 mouse model of systemic lupus erythematosus

DA Decker, C Grant, L Oh, PM Becker, D Young and S Jordan Lupus published online 23 April 2014 DOI: 10.1177/0961203314531840

The online version of this article can be found at: http://lup.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/04/23/0961203314531840

> Published by: SAGE http://www.sagepublications.com

Additional services and information for Lupus can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://lup.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://lup.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

>> OnlineFirst Version of Record - Apr 23, 2014

What is This?

Lupus (2014) 0, 1–11 http://lup.sagepub.com

Immunomodulatory effects of H.P. Acthar Gel on B cell development in the NZB/W F1 mouse model of systemic lupus erythematosus

DA Decker¹, C Grant², L Oh¹, PM Becker¹, D Young¹ and S Jordan¹ ¹Questcor Pharmaceuticals Inc., Ellicott City, MD, USA; and ²Biomedical Research Models, Inc., Worcester, MA, USA

H.P. Acthar Gel[®] (Acthar) is a highly purified repository gel preparation of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH₁₋₃₉), a melanocortin peptide that can bind and activate specific receptors expressed on a range of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)-relevant target cells and tissues. This study was performed to evaluate the effects of Acthar in a mouse model of SLE, using an F1 hybrid of the New Zealand Black and New Zealand White strains (NZB/W F1). Twenty-eight week old NZB/W F1 mice with established autoimmune disease were treated with Acthar, Placebo Gel (Placebo), or prednisolone and monitored for 19 weeks. Outcomes assessed included disease severity (severe proteinuria, $\geq 20\%$ body weight loss, or prostration), measurement of serial serum autoantibody titers, terminal spleen immunophenotyping, and evaluation of renal histopathology. Acthar treatment was linked with evidence of altered B cell differentiation and development, manifested by a significant reduction in splenic B cell follicular and germinal center cells, and decreased levels of circulating total and anti-doublestranded DNA (IgM, IgG, and IgG2a) autoantibodies as compared with Placebo. Additionally, Acthar treatment resulted in a significant decrease of proteinuria, reduced renal lymphocyte infiltration, and attenuation of glomerular immune complex deposition. These data suggest that Acthar diminished pathogenic autoimmune responses in the spleen, peripheral blood, and kidney of NZB/W F1 mice. This is the first preclinical evidence demonstrating Acthar's potential immunomodulatory activity and efficacy in a murine model of systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus (2014) 0, 1–11.

Key words: Systemic lupus erythematosus; NZB/W F1; melanocortin peptides; ACTH; Acthar Gel; B cells

Introduction

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease characterized by diverse clinical manifestations, a relapsing–remitting course, and the production of anti-nuclear autoantibodies.¹ Symptoms of SLE are caused by inflammation and tissue damage secondary to immune complex deposition in the microvasculature of multiple organs and tissues.^{1,2} Animal and human studies indicate that the pathophysiology of SLE may involve defects in B cell tolerance and homeostasis, with subsequent autoantibody production.^{3–6}

Correspondence to: Shaun Jordan, Questcor Pharmaceuticals Inc., 6011 University Blvd, Suite 260, Ellicott City, MD 21043, USA. Email: shaun.jordan@questcor.com Received 28 August 2013; accepted 17 March 2014 Advances in the understanding of the role of B cell survival and differentiation in the pathophysiology of SLE contributed to the recent FDA approval of anti-B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) antibody (belimumab),⁷ which is thought to have efficacy as a treatment for SLE by reducing pathological increases in B lymphocytes.

H.P. Acthar Gel[®] (Acthar) is a highly purified preparation of full length adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH₁₋₃₉), derived from porcine pituitary and formulated into a repository gel for prolonged release. Historically, the clinical efficacy of Acthar was thought to be due to its ability to stimulate endogenous corticosteroid production by the adrenal gland. More recently it has been demonstrated that ACTH₁₋₃₉, the principal component of Acthar, binds to and activates all five known melanocortin receptors (MC1R to MC5R), not just MC2R

© The Author(s), 2014. Reprints and permissions: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav

(the primary receptor mediating steroidogenesis in the adrenal cortex⁸). Acthar, like other melanocortin peptides, may therefore produce anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects by directly activating MCRs expressed on SLE disease-relevant organs, tissues, and immune cells (e.g. B and T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells).⁹⁻¹¹ Previous studies demonstrate that ACTH and other melanocortin peptides inhibit nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells $(NF-\kappa B)$ activity and suppress pro-inflammatory cytokine production (e.g. interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, interferon- γ (IFN- γ), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), IL-2, and IL-17) and cell adhe-ICAM-1).9 molecule sion expression (e.g. Melanocortin peptides may also promote immunosuppression by increasing the expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs), upregulating anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-10), and/or mediating inhibitory effects on MCP-1 expression.^{9,12,13} Additional preclinical data suggest that melanocortin peptides reduce podocyte and renal tubular cell apoptosis, tubulointerstitial fibrosis, oxidative stress, and inflammatory cell infiltration in the kidney,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ with published evidence generated from both animal studies and in patients with nephrotic renal diseases supporting a role for Acthar in the treatment of proteinuria.11,17,18

Historical reports support clinical efficacy of ACTH in SLE,^{19,20} and Acthar is FDA approved for use during an exacerbation or as maintenance therapy in selected cases of SLE. However, the specific immunomodulatory actions of Acthar have not been previously investigated in preclinical models of systemic autoimmune disease. The present study was conducted in order to evaluate the efficacy and to begin to explore the potential mechanisms of action of Acthar in a murine model of SLE using an F1 hybrid of the New Zealand Black and New Zealand White strains (NZB/W F1). NZB/W F1 mice spontaneously develop SLE-like disease manifestations over time so that by the age of five to six months they display splenomegaly, elevated serum titers of IgG and anti-doublestranded (ds) DNA IgG (especially isotype switched IgG2a),²¹ proteinuria, and immune-mediated glomerulonephritis.^{22,23} Furthermore, these mice develop altered tolerance checkpoints, including hyperactivation and positive selection of autoreactive B cells from the follicular compartment to germinal centers (GCs).²² Historical data demonstrate that these disease manifestations of autoimmunity are unique to the NZB/W F1 hybrid, as they are not seen in other inbred mouse strains²⁴ and the NZB and NZW parental

strains show only limited autoimmunity.²³ Potential beneficial effects of a 19-week course of Acthar were evaluated in NZB/W F1 mice with established autoimmunity. Disease assessments included serial in-life measurement of body weight, autoantibody levels, and proteinuria. Terminal endpoints included quantification of splenic B, T, and dendritic cell (DC) populations and renal histopathology. The data presented support a significant role for Acthar in attenuating disease progression and severity in this murine model of SLE.

Methods

Animals

Female NZB/W F1 mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were group housed in semi-rigid mouse isolators in an AAALAC-accredited conventional animal facility, and maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the BRM Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Protocol

At 28 weeks of age, mice with moderate proteinuria (1-2+, equivalent to 30-100 mg/dl) were assigned to one of three treatment groups (n = 10/group) to achieve an equal mean proteinuria score representing established disease. Treatment began with Acthar (160 U/kg) or an equivalent volume of Placebo Gel (Placebo; Ouestcor Pharmaceuticals, Hayward, CA, USA) administered subcutaneously (s.c.) every other day, or with prednisolone (5 mg)kg s.c.; Solu-Delta-Cortef, Pfizer, New York, NY, USA) given for six days each week. This dose of prednisolone was previously reported to attenuate disease in NZB/W F1 mice.²⁵ Treatment was continued until animals reached 46 weeks of age unless pre-defined criteria necessitating early removal from the study were met. At the end of the treatment period mice were sacrificed by thoracotomy and rapid exsanguination under isoflurane anesthesia (1-4%), to effect).

In-life measurements

Body weight was measured at least once weekly (up to three times weekly if proteinuria was $\geq 3+$). Serum samples for measurement of autoantibody titers were obtained from 28-week old mice prior to the initiation of treatment, and every two weeks thereafter until study completion.

Flow cytometry

After euthanasia, spleens were gently crushed using microscope slides. Red blood cells were lysed with ACK Lysis Buffer (Lonza, Allendale, NJ, USA); lysates were washed with RPMI 1640, and passed through a 70 µm nylon filter. Cells were counted, Fc blocked with receptors were TruStainfcX (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA), and then cells were stained in three- or four-color panels in Cell Staining Buffer (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA) on wet ice. Cells were fixed with Cytofix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) on wet ice, then washed once and resuspended in Cell Staining Buffer prior to acquisition. The following specific CD19⁺ B cell subsets were analyzed: activated (CD20⁺CD69⁺), immature (CD21^{lo}CD23^{lo}), T1 transitional cells (IgM^{hi}IgD^{lo}), T2 transitional cells (IgM^{lo}IgD^{hi}), follicular (CD21^{int}CD23^{hi}), marginal zone (MZ; CD21^{hi}CD23^{lo}), GCs (GL-7⁺), and plasma cells (CD20⁻CD138⁺). Other cell populations analyzed included: T cells (CD3⁺), T helper cells $(CD3^+CD4^+)$, activated T helper cells $(CD3^+CD4^+CD69^+)$, macrophages $(CD11b^+)$ and dendritic cells (CD11c⁺). Gating and analysis were performed using FlowJo v7.6.5 software (Treestar, Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

Autoantibody measurements

Serum titers of total and anti-dsDNA IgG, IgG2a, and IgM were measured using commercial enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits from Alpha Diagnostics International (ADI, San Antonio, TX, USA). Assays were performed as per kit manuals in duplicate.

Renal endpoints

Semi-quantitative assessment of proteinuria was determined every two weeks with Uristix (Siemens Healthcare, Tarrytown, NY, USA). If a measurement of $\geq 3 + \text{was}$ observed (equivalent to approxi- $300 \, mg/dl$), an additional test was mately performed the following week. One kidney was formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, then sectioned and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). H&E-stained slides were scored for glomerulonephropathy, dilated tubules, degenerate tubules, and lymphocyte aggregates by an independent pathologist blinded to the treatment groups and disease status of the mice. All scoring was based on a 0-5 system (with 0 = normal, 1 = least discernible or slight, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, and 5 = severe). Representative photomicrographs were viewed on a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope (Nikon Instruments, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) and captured using a SPOT Insight Color digital camera and SPOT v5.0 software (SPOT Imaging Solutions, Sterling Heights, MI, USA). The contralateral kidney was flash frozen in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) media, then cryosections $(6 \,\mu m)$ were used for immunofluorescence staining. Glomerular IgG and C3 deposition were evaluated using Alexa-488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) or FITCconjugated anti-mouse complement C3 (MP Biomedicals, LLC, Santa Ana, CA, USA) antibodies, respectively. Glomerular staining for IgG and C3 was scored by a blinded pathologist using a semi-quantitative scale based on signal present (with 0 = no signal, 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked, and 5 = intense). Representative images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope attached to a Prior Lumen 200 Fluorescent Illumination System with a Nikon DXM 1200C camera.

Criteria for early study termination

Animals met criteria for removal from the study with early euthanasia if they displayed one of the following: $\geq 3 + \text{proteinuria}$ on two consecutive measurements, $\geq 20\%$ body weight loss, or prostration.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using Prism v6 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Measurements repeated over time (percent of mice meeting early study termination criteria, body weight, antibody levels, and proteinuria) were analyzed for statistical significance using the Friedman test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison post-test if significance was identified in the primary comparison. Measurements made at a single time point (splenocyte subsets, semi-quantitative histopathology scores) were analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison post-test if significance was identified in the primary comparison. Statistical significance was set at $p \le 0.05$.

Results

Acthar treatment prevented disease severity and progression in NZB/W F1 mice

Disease severity and/or progression were significantly attenuated in Acthar-treated NZB/W F1

Immunomodulatory effects of H.P. Acthar Gel in NZB/W F1 mice DA Decker et al.



Figure 1 Effects of Acthar on body weight. Comparison of body weight between Acthar- (n = 10), Placebo- (n = 10), and prednisolone- (n = 10) treated animals. Body weights were measured at least once weekly from 28 weeks up to 46 weeks of age. Body weight increased over time in Acthar-treated mice, while both Placebo- $(p \le 0.0001)$ and prednisolone- $(p \le 0.0001)$ treated animals failed to gain weight during the 19-week treatment phase. Values shown are the mean ± SEM.

⁺Denotes significant differences compared with prednisolone.

mice. While 80% of Placebo-treated mice developed severe proteinuria requiring early termination from the study and 20% of mice receiving prednisolone met early termination criteria (including one mouse that developed hindlimb paralysis from a spine fracture and another with $\geq 20\%$ body weight loss), all of the Acthar-treated mice survived the 19-week treatment period ($p \le 0.0001$ Acthar versus Placebo). Shown in Figure 1, the body weight of Acthar-treated mice increased throughout the study, while Placebo- and prednisolonetreated animals failed to gain weight during the 19-week treatment period ($p \le 0.0001$ Acthar Placebo; p < 0.0001versus Acthar versus prednisolone).

Acthar diminished splenomegaly and activated and differentiated B and T cell subsets in the spleen

As shown in Figure 2(a), spleen weights were significantly lower in Acthar-treated mice as compared with Placebo- ($p \le 0.001$) and prednisolone-($p \le 0.05$) treated animals. The reductions in spleen weight corresponded with significantly lower total spleen cell counts in Acthar- versus Placebo- and prednisolone-treated mice ($p \le 0.0001$ and $p \le 0.05$ Acthar versus Placebo and prednisolone respectively; Figure 2(b)). Consistent with the decrease in total spleen cell counts, spleens from Acthar-treated mice had a lower absolute number of splenic CD19⁺ B cells at all developmental stages (activated, immature, T1 and T2 transitional, follicular, MZ, GC,



Figure 2 Effects of Acthar on splenomegaly. (a) Splenomegaly, estimated by measurement of spleen weight, was significantly reduced in Acthar-treated mice as compared with Placebo- ($p \le 0.001$) and prednisolone- ($p \le 0.05$) treated animals. (b) Spleen total cell number was significantly diminished in Acthar-treated animals as compared with Placebo-($p \le 0.001$) and prednisolone-treated mice. Data are presented as a scatter plot of values from individual mice with the mean value represented by a horizontal line (n = 10/group).

*Denotes significant differences compared with Placebo.

⁺Denotes significant differences compared with prednisolone.

and plasma cells) compared with Placebo-treated mice ($p \le 0.001$), whereas absolute numbers of only five of these CD19⁺ B cell subsets (activated, T1 and T2 transitional, follicular and MZ) were reduced in prednisolone-treated animals ($p \le 0.05$ versus Placebo; data not shown).

In addition, shown in Table 1, the frequency of immature and T1 CD19⁺ B cells as a proportion of total splenic B cells was significantly increased in Acthar-treated mice when compared with Placebo $(p \le 0.01)$, while the frequency of follicular (Placebo versus Acthar, $p \le 0.001$) and GC CD19⁺ B cells was reduced by Acthar treatment (Placebo versus Acthar, $p \le 0.01$). Furthermore, compared with Placebo, Acthar treatment resulted in a significant increase in MZ CD19⁺ B cell frequency $(p \le 0.05;$ Table 1).

Table 1
Flow cvtometric analysis of spleens reported as per cent frequency of cells

Cell populations	$\begin{array}{c} Placebo\\ (n=7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Acthar \\ (n=10) \end{array}$	Prednisolone (n = 10)
Lymphocytes	81.8 ± 1.5	80.1 ± 1.2	81.1±1.9
B cells (CD19 ⁺)	57.7 ± 3.1	47.8 ± 1.8	53.4 ± 5.7
Activated B cells (CD20 ⁺ CD69 ⁺)	4.7 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.2	$2.3\pm0.3^{\rm a}$
Immature B cells (CD21 ^{lo} CD23 ^{lo})	20.9 ± 2.1	$35.5\pm3.3^{\rm a}$	32.9 ± 6.5
T1 transitional B cells (IgM ^{hi} IgD ^{lo})	15.6 ± 2.7	$35.3\pm4.0^{a,b}$	20.4 ± 2.8
T2 transitional B cells (IgM ^{hi} IgD ^{hi})	22.3 ± 1.9	22.0 ± 1.7	21.4 ± 2.5
Follicular B cells (CD21 ^{int} CD23 ^{hi})	58.8 ± 1.5	$35.7\pm3.5^{\rm c}$	41.7 ± 5.1^{d}
Marginal zone B cells (CD21hiCD23lo)	16.4 ± 2.3	25.4 ± 2.1^d	20.5 ± 2.6
B cell germinal center (GL-7 ⁺)	22.7 ± 6.4	$9.5\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	$11.7\pm2.5^{\rm d}$
Plasma cells (CD20 ⁻ CD138 ⁺)	0.6 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.2
T cells (CD3 ⁺)	38.0 ± 3.5	43.0 ± 1.8	34.5 ± 4.7
T helper B cells (Th, CD4 ⁺)	74.8 ± 3.1	$48.4\pm5.0^{\rm a}$	64.4 ± 2.7
Activated Th cells (CD69 ⁺)	36.5 ± 4.3	29.9 ± 2.9	27.9 ± 3.2
Macrophages (CD11b ⁺)	11.8 ± 1.9	8.3 ± 1.2	8.5 ± 1.0
Dendritic cells (CD11c ⁺)	7.8 ± 2.4	2.9 ± 0.3^d	4.4 ± 1.1

Values presented represent mean \pm SEM. Absolute numbers of cells were utilized to calculate frequency of specific splenocyte subsets. Percent of B cells, T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells were calculated as a fraction of total lymphocyte number. Percent of specific B and T cell subsets were calculated as a fraction of total number of B and T lymphocytes respectively.

 $^{a}p \leq 0.01$ compared with Placebo-treated mice.

 $b p \le 0.05$ compared with prednisolone-treated mice.

 ${}^{c}p \le 0.001$ compared with Placebo-treated mice. ${}^{d}p \le 0.05$ compared with Placebo-treated mice.

T cell frequency was similar across all treatment groups, although splenic CD4⁺ T frequency (p < 0.01) was markedly lower in Acthar-treated mice as compared with Placebo-treated animals (Table 1). Decreased frequency of DCs was also observed in Acthar-treated mice compared with Placebo-treated mice ($p \le 0.05$; Table 1).

Acthar prevented the progressive increase of circulating autoantibodies

Serum total and anti-dsDNA immunoglobulin titers were similar across groups prior to the initiation of treatment at 28 weeks. Shown in Figure 3(a), (c), and (e), Acthar significantly prevented the increase of total serum IgG, IgG2a, and IgM seen in Placebo-treated mice ($p \le 0.01$). Similarly, total IgG and IgG2a were significantly lower in Acthar-treated animals when compared with prednisolone treatment ($p \le 0.05$). Serum dsDNA autoantibodies increased progressively throughout the 19-week treatment period in Placebo- and prednisolone-treated animals, while increases were not observed in Acthar-treated mice (p < 0.001)for anti-dsDNA-IgG, anti-dsDNA-IgG2a, and

anti-dsDNA-IgM in Acthar- versus Placebo-treated animals; Figure 3(b), (d) and (f)).

Acthar improved renal outcomes in NZB/W F1 mice

Acthar significantly prevented the development of severe proteinuria during the 19-week treatment period (Figure 4(a); $p \le 0.05$ versus Placebo), while prednisolone had no statistically significant effect on this endpoint. None of the Acthar-treated mice developed severe proteinuria (score $\geq 3+$), whereas eight out of 10 Placebo-treated mice were removed throughout the 19-week treatment phase because they displayed severe proteinuria on two consecutive measurements one week apart. Histologic assessment suggested the protective effects of Acthar on proteinuria were associated with evidence of reduced renal inflammation and glomerular pathology. Renal lymphocyte aggregates were significantly reduced in Acthar-treated mice $(p \le 0.05$ versus Placebo), with trends for reduced glomerulonephropathy, renal tubular dilation, and renal tubular degeneration histopath-4(b)). ology scores (Figure In contrast, prednisolone did not significantly alter any of these renal outcome measures. In addition, while both Acthar (p < 0.01) and prednisolone (p < 0.05) significantly attenuated glomerular IgG staining when compared with Placebo-treated animals, only Acthar significantly reduced glomerular C3 staining (p < 0.01) (Figure 4(c)). Taken together, these data suggest that Acthar minimized the progressive renal damage seen in Placebo-treated NZB/W F1 mice.

Discussion

The pathophysiology of SLE is thought to involve defects in B cell tolerance checkpoints. When tolerance checkpoints are active, negative selection (deletion, editing, or anergy) reduces autoreactive B cells.^{3–5} This process encompasses the entire differentiation pathway from immature B cells (in the bone marrow) to mature B cells (in the peripheral lymphoid organs), as well as autoantibody production.^{3–6,26} Because Acthar and other melanocortin peptides may suppress inflammation and modulate autoimmunity,^{9,10,27,28} the present study was performed to evaluate the efficacy of Acthar in a well-established murine model of SLE.

Data demonstrating increased frequency of immature and T1 B cells in Acthar-treated, but not Placebo- or prednisolone-treated NZB/W F1 mice, suggest that Acthar halted the differentiation



Figure 3 Effects of Acthar on serum immunoglobulins and autoantibodies. Serum levels of total IgG, IgG2a and IgM and antidsDNA IgG, IgG2a and IgM were measured at 28 weeks of age (prior to initiation of treatment) and every two weeks thereafter until study completion. Acthar treatment significantly prevented the increase in total serum immunoglobulins as compared with both Placebo (IgG, Panel (a); IgG2a, Panel (c); IgM, Panel (e), $p \le 0.01$) and prednisolone (IgG, Panel (a); IgG2a, Panel (c), $p \le 0.05$) treatment groups. Acthar treatment also significantly inhibited the increase of circulating anti-dsDNA autoantibodies (IgG, Panel (b); IgG2a, Panel (d); IgM, Panel (f)) compared with Placebo ($p \le 0.001$) over the 19-week treatment period. In contrast, only anti-dsDNA IgG2a (Panel (d)) was attenuated by prednisolone treatment. Values shown are the mean \pm SEM (n = 10/group).

*Denotes significant differences compared with Placebo.

⁺Denotes significant differences compared with prednisolone.

of autoreactive B cells and prevented their progression from the T1 to the T2, follicular, and GC states.^{29,30} Previously published *in vitro* studies suggest that NF- κ B signaling is required for B cell differentiation into T2 and follicular cells.³¹ Prior reports suggest that ACTH inhibits NF- κ B signaling,^{28,31,32} suggesting a potential mechanism by which Acthar might attenuate B cell differentiation in this model. Acthar could also inhibit B cell

differentiation by inhibiting the NF- κ B-regulated expression of the B-cell activating factor (BAFF) receptor.³³ Published literature suggests that blockade of BAFF-mediated signaling in NZB/W F1 mice results in increased immature and T1 cell populations, as was seen with Acthar treatment.^{29,30} Potential Acthar-mediated effects on BAFF signaling are supported by evidence that serum BAFF/BLyS levels were significantly Immunomodulatory effects of H.P. Acthar Gel in NZB/W F1 mice DA Decker et al.



Figure 4 Effects of Acthar on renal endpoints. (a) Proteinuria worsened during the 19-week treatment period in Placebo-treated NZB/W F1 mice, while Acthar-treated animals developed significantly less severe proteinuria over time ($p \le 0.05$ versus Placebo). Prednisolone did not significantly attenuate proteinuria progression. Values represent mean \pm SEM for proteinuria score (n = 10/group). (b) Histopathological scoring of kidneys (n = 10/group) was performed at 46 weeks of age unless early study termination was necessary. Left panel: representative images for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained kidney sections for each treatment group. The numbers in black text on each panel denote the semi-quantitative scores for the representative image (glomerulonephropathy/dilated tubules/degenerate tubules/and lymphocyte aggregates). Arrows denote areas of lymphocyte aggregates. Right panel: average semi-quantitative histopathology scores (values represent mean \pm SEM). Two H&E-stained kidney sections per animal were scored for glomerulonephropathy, dilated tubules, degenerate tubules, and lymphocyte aggregates using a 0-5 scoring system. Acthar significantly reduced lymphocyte aggregates (p < 0.05) while non-significant trends of reduced kidney disease severity were seen in all other scored categories compared with Placebo. (c) Scoring of immunohistochemical staining of kidneys for glomerular IgG and C3 deposition (n = 10/group) was performed at 46 weeks of age unless early study termination was necessary. Left panel: representative images for glomerular anti-IgG and anti-C3 immunofluorescence staining. Right panel: average semi-quantitative immunofluorescence scores (values represent mean \pm SEM). For analysis of immune complex deposition, two fresh frozen kidney sections per animal were stained with anti-IgG or anti-C3 antibodies. Semi-quantitative scoring (0-5) was performed. Acthar treatment significantly attenuated both glomerular IgG ($p \le 0.01$) and C3 ($p \le 0.01$) deposition as compared with Placebo. In contrast, prednisolone therapy reduced glomerular IgG deposition ($p \le 0.05$ versus Placebo) but did not significantly reduce C3 immunofluorescence staining. N.D.: not detectable

reduced in patients receiving Acthar therapy for opsoclonus myoclonus syndrome.^{9,34} The importance of an increased proportion of T1 cells is relevant for treatment of SLE, as sustained clinical remission in patients with SLE was associated with a repopulation of transitional B cells following B cell depletion therapy with rituximab, whereas a rapid repopulation of memory B cells predicted a poor outcome of disease.³⁵

Most transitional B cells differentiate either into MZ B cells or follicular B cells,³⁶ and studies suggest that when B cell maturation is blocked, cells are channeled into the MZ compartment.³⁷ The increase in MZ B cells seen in Acthar-treated mice therefore suggests a funneling of transitional B cells to the MZ instead of the autoreactive follicular compartment of the spleen.²⁶ Sequestration and positive selection of autoreactive B cells into the MZ compartment has been recognized in recent studies not only as a tolerance checkpoint,^{5,26} but also as a potential mechanism for preventing auto-immunity, leading to decreased SLE propensity in mice.^{35,38}

SLE B-cell hyper-responsiveness is correlated with spontaneous GC formation,¹ a process that was suppressed by Acthar treatment in this study. Consistent with the observed increases in immature T1 and MZ B cells, Acthar therapy resulted in a 12% decrease of GC formation in NZB/W F1 mice with already established autoimmune disease. These effects were not seen with prednisolone therapy, and previous reports suggest that other B cell targeted therapies had less robust effects on GC formation in NZB/W F1 mice.^{30,39–41} GC B cells further differentiate and undergo clonal expansion, B cell Ig heavy-chain class-switching recombination, and differentiation into long-lived plasma cells generating an autoantibody response.^{1,26,42} Although the decrease in GC formation was not correlated with a significant decrease in splenic plasma cell frequency in Acthar-treated animals, this finding is not unexpected as most antibody secreting plasma cells would be expected to localize to the periphery.

In addition to modifying splenic B cell populations and diminishing B cell autoreactivity, Acthar treatment correspondingly prevented progressive disease assessed by measurement of circulating autoantibodies. Titers of total IgG, IgG2a, and IgM were lower in NZB/W F1 mice receiving Acthar, indicating a dampening of the humoral immune response in this model. These observations are supported by previous evidence confirming that ACTH not only binds to B cells, but also significantly attenuates antigen-induced immunoglobulin secretion by B cells.^{43,44} In addition, reduced levels of circulating anti-dsDNA IgG, IgG2a, and IgM suggest suppression of autoreactive B cells, which could have attenuated disease severity or progression.^{45–47} Treatment with other clinically efficacious therapies has not been associated with such marked effects on circulating autoantibody titers in SLE murine models. For example, BAFF inhibition therapy in NZB/W F1 mice only modestly delayed the increase in total IgM and ds-DNA IgM in this model, and had no significant effects on total IgG or ds-DNA IgG levels.^{29,30} Similarly, B cell depletion therapy was not linked with a change in circulating autoantibodies in mice.^{6,41} Even the combination of B cell depletion therapy with BAFF blockade in NZB/W F1 mice did not significantly decrease serum autoantibodies.⁴¹

It has been reported that T cells also play an important role in the autoimmunity that develops in NZB/W F1 mice, as these mice have increasing numbers of CD4⁺ T cells as they age,²⁹ and treatment with anti-CD4⁺ T cell antibody prevented autoimmunity in these mice in association with decreased peripheral CD4⁺ T cell counts, serum ds-DNA autoantibody titers, and proteinuria.^{22,48} Acthar-treatment was associated with a similar significant inhibition of CD4⁺ T cell frequency and serum dsDNA autoantibody titers that usually accompany aging in this model.²⁹ CD4⁺ T cell stimulation is needed for the differentiation of follicular B cells into GC B cells, suggesting that the decreased T cell frequency could be an important modifier of the autoimmune response in these animals.^{22,26,42} In comparison, prior studies evaluating the effects of B cell depletion therapy and inhibition of BAFF in NZB/W F1 mice did not demonstrate a similar reduction in the frequency of CD4⁺ T helper cells.^{29,30,41}

The beneficial effects of Acthar treatment were not limited to spleen cell immunophenotyping and reduction of circulating autoantibodies, as Acthar also prevented the development of severe proteinuria in these animals. Severe proteinuria is a measure of glomerulonephritis development and disease severity in NZB/W F1 animals, and has been attributed to increased serum autoantibodies that lead to immune complex deposition, which drives local inflammatory responses and cellular infiltration that lead to tissue damage.^{45,47,49} The beneficial effects of Acthar on proteinuria progression may therefore be explained by alterations in several potential mechanistic pathways. First, Acthar reduced glomerular IgG and C3 deposition. Prior studies have demonstrated an association between reduced autoantibodies and improved

Immunomodulatory effects of H.P. Acthar Gel in NZB/W F1 mice DA Decker *et al.*

proteinuria,^{29,46} while other investigations suggest that attenuation of proteinuria progression requires a reduction in both circulating autoantibodies and glomerular IgG deposition.⁴⁵ Interestingly, while both prednisolone and Acthar reduced glomerular IgG, only Acthar also significantly reduced circulating autoantibodies and the development of severe proteinuria. Acthar, but not prednisolone, also significantly attenuated glomerular C3 immunostaining. In conjunction with reduced circulating autoantibodies, decreased glomerular C3 deposition has previously been linked with reduced proteinuria in NZB/W F1 mice.^{45,47}

Alternatively, prevention of progressive proteinuria might be due to reduced lymphocyte aggregates, as decreased renal lymphocyte infiltration has been associated with disease remission in other mouse models of SLE.^{49,50} Another possibility is that the observed improvements in proteinuria might indirectly result from a reduction in the frequency and absolute number of splenic DCs seen in Acthar-treated mice. DCs that migrate into the kidneys of NZB/W F1 mice during active disease can secrete chemokines that attract inflammatory cells, including B and T cells, resulting in local upregulation of inflammatory mediators and renal



Figure 5 Summary of potential points of Acthar efficacy on systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) pathology in NZB/W F1 mice. (a) Schematic diagram representing the breakdown of tolerance mechanisms that are thought to contribute to SLE pathology in NZB/W F1 mice. Tolerance checkpoint breakdown is denoted by \nearrow at the following places: 1) maturation of immature B cells to T1 transitional cells and migration from the bone marrow to the spleen; 2) maturation from a T1 transitional B cell to mature T2 transitional B cell; 3) maturation from the T2 transitional cells to MZ or autoreactive follicular B cells; 4) differentiation from autoreactive follicular B cells to clonal expansion in the germinal center; 5) differentiation into long lived plasma cells that secrete autoantibodies into the periphery. (b) Representative schematic diagram demonstrating the observed effects of Acthar at these tolerance checkpoints in NZB/W F1 mice, suggesting multiple points along this pathway at which Acthar may act to restore B cell tolerance and inhibit autoantibody production.

damage.^{29,30,46} Finally, Acthar could prevent progression of proteinuria in the NZB/W F1 model via direct effects on podocytes, as prior evidence demonstrates MCR expression on podocytes, as well as protective effects of this drug on proteinuria in both animal and human nephrotic disease.^{11,16,18}

Of note, in these experiments, Acthar treatment was not associated with any identified adverse events. In contrast, prednisolone-treated NZB/W F1 mice failed to gain weight throughout the treatment period, and were more likely to require early termination from the study. Notably, one prednisolone-treated animal was removed from study early due to hindlimb paralysis from a spinal fracture, a known complication of glucocorticoid therapy.⁵¹ Although detailed dose–response relationships were not evaluated, differences between Acthar- and prednisolone-treated animals for efficacy outcomes suggest that Acthar and exogenous corticosteroids could modulate inflammation by differing mechanistic pathways.^{10,16,27,28}

In summary, the results of the current study highlight that Acthar has profound immunomodulatory activity in NZB/W F1 mice, impacting B cell development, circulating autoantibody titers and renal immune complex deposition, while also attenuating the severity of proteinuria. The B-cell mediated pathophysiology of autoimmunity in these mice is summarized in Figure 5(a), and the multiple tolerance checkpoints present throughout B cell differentiation are identified. Briefly, in NZB/ W F1 mice, B cells progress through the tolerance checkpoints freely, resulting in an increased population of autoreactive follicular and GC B cells. which then lead to high levels of autoantibodies in the periphery. As summarized in Figure 5(b), Acthar treatment may restore tolerance checkpoint activity, as demonstrated by increased immature, transitional, and MZ B cell populations, and decreased autoreactive follicular and GC B cells. Decreased autoreactive B cell populations would be predicted to decrease autoantibodies in the periphery, with attenuation of target organ damage. Taken together, these data suggest that Acthar is likely to be an efficacious treatment alternative for patients with SLE, and may have broader implications for the potential of Acthar as a treatment for other autoimmune diseases.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Paul Higgins (Questcor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) for his critical review of the revised manuscript, and Michael Hawes (Charter Preclinical Services, Hudson, MA, USA) for acquisition of representative images demonstrating glomerular immune complex deposition. Authors contributed to study activities in the following manner. Substantial contributions to study conception and design: DAD, CG, PMB, DY, and SJ. Substantial contributions to acquisition of data: CG. Substantial contributions to analysis and interpretation of data: DAD, CG, LO, PMB, DY, and SJ. Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: DAD, CG, LO, PMB, DY, and SJ. Final approval of the version of the article to be published: DAD, CG, LO, PMB, DY, and SJ.

Funding

This work was supported by Questcor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Conflict of interest statement

CG is an employee of Biomedical Research Models, Inc., the commercial research organization contracted (more than \$10,000) to perform the animal experiments. DAD, LO, PMB, DY, SJ are employees of Questcor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (more than \$10,000) and hold stock or stock options (more than \$10,000) in Questcor Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

References

- 1 Dorner T, Giesecke C, Lipsky PE. Mechanisms of B cell autoimmunity in SLE. Arthritis Res Ther 2011; 13: 243.
- 2 Ardoin SP, Pisetsky DS. Developments in the scientific understanding of lupus. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2008; 10: 218.
- 3 William J, Euler C, Primarolo N, *et al.* B cell tolerance checkpoints that restrict pathways of antigen-driven differentiation. *J Immunol* 2006; 176: 2142–2151.
- 4 Yurasov S, Wardemann H, Hammersen J, *et al.* Defective B cell tolerance checkpoints in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Exper Med* 2005; 201: 703–711.
- 5 Anolik JH. B cell biology and dysfunction in SLE. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis* 2007; 65: 182–186.
- 6 Marian V, Anolik JH. Treatment targets in systemic lupus erythematosus: Biology and clinical perspective. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2012; 14(Suppl. 4): S3.
- 7 Ramanujam M, Bethunaickan R, Huang W, *et al.* Selective blockade of BAFF for the prevention and treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus nephritis in NZM2410 mice. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010; 62: 1457–1468.
- 8 Schioth HB, Muceniece R, Larsson M, *et al.* The melanocortin 1, 3, 4 or 5 receptors do not have a binding epitope for ACTH beyond the sequence of alpha-MSH. *J Endocrinol* 1997; 155: 73–78.

- 9 Catania A, Gatti S, Colombo G, *et al.* Targeting melanocortin receptors as a novel strategy to control inflammation. *Pharmacol Rev* 2004; 56: 1–29.
- 10 Catania A, Lonati C, Sordi A, et al. The melanocortin system in control of inflammation. ScientificWorldJournal 2010; 10: 1840–1853.
- Bomback AS, Radhakrishnan J. Treatment of nephrotic syndrome with adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH). *Discov Med* 2011; 12: 91–96.
- 12 Cui HS, Hayasaka S, Zhang XY, et al. Effect of alpha-melanocytestimulating hormone on interleukin 8 and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 expression in a human retinal pigment epithelial cell line. *Ophthalmic Res* 2005; 37: 279–288.
- 13 Brod SA, Hood ZM. Ingested (oral) ACTH inhibits EAE. J Neuroimmunol 2011; 232: 131–135.
- 14 Chiao H, Kohda Y, McLeroy P, et al. Alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone protects against renal injury after ischemia in mice and rats. J Clin Invest 1997; 99: 1165–1172.
- 15 Lee SY, Jo SK, Cho WY, et al. The effect of alpha-melanocytestimulating hormone on renal tubular cell apoptosis and tubulointerstitial fibrosis in cyclosporine A nephrotoxicity. *Transplantation* 2004; 78: 1756–1764.
- 16 Si J, Ge Y, Zhuang S, *et al.* Adrenocorticotropic hormone ameliorates acute kidney injury by steroidogenic-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Kidney Int* 2013; 83: 635–646.
- 17 Lindskog A, Ebefors K, Johansson ME, et al. Melanocortin 1 receptor agonists reduce proteinuria. J Am Soc Nephrol 2010; 21: 1290–1298.
- 18 Bomback AS, Canetta PA, Beck Jr LH, et al. Treatment of resistant glomerular diseases with adrenocorticotropic hormone gel: A prospective trial. Am J Nephrol 2012; 36: 58–67.
- 19 Cohen H, Cadman EF. The natural history of lupus erythematosus and its modification by cortisone and corticotrophin (A.C.T.H.). *Lancet* 1953; 265: 306–312.
- 20 Carey RA, Harvey AM, Howard JE. The effect of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisone on the course of disseminated lupus erythematosus and peri-arteritis nodosa. *Bull Johns Hopkins Hosp* 1950; 87: 425–460.
- 21 Takahashi T, Strober S. Natural killer T cells and innate immune B cells from lupus-prone NZB/W mice interact to generate IgM and IgG autoantibodies. *Eur J Immunol* 2008; 38: 156–165.
- 22 Eilat D, Wabl M. B cell tolerance and positive selection in lupus. J Immunol 2012; 189: 503–509.
- 23 Perry D, Sang A, Yin Y, et al. Murine models of systemic lupus erythematosus. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011; 2716942011.
- 24 Lambert PH, Dixon FJ. Pathogenesis of the glomerulonephritis of NZB/W mice. J Exper Med 1968; 127: 507–522.
- 25 Hahn BH, Knotts L, Ng M, et al. Influence of cyclophosphamide and other immunosuppressive drugs on immune disorders and neoplasia in NZB/NZW mice. Arthritis Rheum 1975; 18: 145–152.
- 26 Jacobi AM, Diamond B. Balancing diversity and tolerance: Lessons from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Exper Med 2005; 202: 341–344.
- 27 Arnason BG, Berkovich R, Catania A, *et al.* Mechanisms of action of adrenocorticotropic hormone and other melanocortins relevant to the clinical management of patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19: 130–136.
- 28 Moustafa M, Szabo M, Ghanem GE, et al. Inhibition of tumor necrosis factor-alpha stimulated NFkappaB/p65 in human keratinocytes by alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone and adrenocorticotropic hormone peptides. J Invest Dermatol 2002; 119: 1244–1253.
- 29 Ramanujam M, Wang X, Huang W, et al. Mechanism of action of transmembrane activator and calcium modulator ligand interactor-Ig in murine systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol 2004; 173: 3524–3534.

- 30 Ramanujam M, Wang X, Huang W, et al. Similarities and differences between selective and nonselective BAFF blockade in murine SLE. J Clin Invest 2006; 116: 724–734.
- 31 Pillai S, Cariappa A. The follicular versus marginal zone B lymphocyte cell fate decision. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009; 9: 767–777.
- 32 Khan WN. B cell receptor and BAFF receptor signaling regulation of B cell homeostasis. J Immunol 2009; 183: 3561–3567.
- 33 Woo YJ, Yoon BY, Jhun JY, et al. Regulation of B cell activating factor (BAFF) receptor expression by NF-KappaB signaling in rheumatoid arthritis B cells. Exp Mol Med 2011; 43: 350–357.
- 34 Pranzatelli MR, Tate ED, Hoefgen ER, *et al.* Therapeutic downregulation of central and peripheral B-cell-activating factor (BAFF) production in pediatric opsoclonus-myoclonus syndrome. *Cytokine* 2008; 44: 26–32.
- 35 Anolik JH, Looney RJ, Lund FE, *et al.* Insights into the heterogeneity of human B cells: Diverse functions, roles in autoimmunity, and use as therapeutic targets. *Immunol Res* 2009; 45: 144–158.
- 36 Pillai S, Mattoo H, Cariappa A. B cells and autoimmunity. Curr Opin Immunol 2011; 23: 721–731.
- 37 Martin F, Kearney JF. Marginal-zone B cells. Nat Rev Immunol 2002; 2: 323–335.
- 38 Duan B, Croker BP, Morel L. Lupus resistance is associated with marginal zone abnormalities in an NZM murine model. *Lab Invest* 2007; 87: 14–28.
- 39 Ahuja A, Shupe J, Dunn R, et al. Depletion of B cells in murine lupus: Efficacy and resistance. J Immunol 2007; 179: 3351–3361.
- 40 Gong Q, Ou Q, Ye S, et al. Importance of cellular microenvironment and circulatory dynamics in B cell immunotherapy. J Immunol 2005; 174: 817–826.
- 41 Bekar KW, Owen T, Dunn R, et al. Prolonged effects of short-term anti-CD20 B cell depletion therapy in murine systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2010; 62: 2443–2457.
- 42 Dorner T, Jacobi AM, Lipsky PE. B cells in autoimmunity. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2009; 11: 247.
- 43 Johnson HM, Smith EM, Torres BA, et al. Regulation of the in vitro antibody response by neuroendocrine hormones. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1982; 79: 4171–4174.
- 44 Clarke BL, Bost KL. Differential expression of functional adrenocorticotropic hormone receptors by subpopulations of lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 1989; 143: 464–469.
- 45 Stirzaker RA, Biswas PS, Gupta S, *et al.* Administration of fasudil, a ROCK inhibitor, attenuates disease in lupus-prone NZB/W F1 female mice. *Lupus* 2012; 21: 656–661.
- 46 Schiffer L, Sinha J, Wang X, et al. Short term administration of costimulatory blockade and cyclophosphamide induces remission of systemic lupus erythematosus nephritis in NZB/W F1 mice by a mechanism downstream of renal immune complex deposition. J Immunol 2003; 171: 489–497.
- 47 Hughes GC, Martin D, Zhang K, et al. Decrease in glomerulonephritis and Th1-associated autoantibody production after progesterone treatment in NZB/NZW mice. Arthritis Rheum 2009; 60: 1775–1784.
- 48 Wofsy D, Seaman WE. Reversal of advanced murine lupus in NZB/NZW F1 mice by treatment with monoclonal antibody to L3T4. J Immunol 1987; 138: 3247–3253.
- 49 Schiffer L, Bethunaickan R, Ramanujam M, et al. Activated renal macrophages are markers of disease onset and disease remission in lupus nephritis. J Immunol 2008; 180: 1938–1947.
- 50 Bethunaickan R, Berthier CC, Ramanujam M, et al. A unique hybrid renal mononuclear phagocyte activation phenotype in murine systemic lupus erythematosus nephritis. J Immunol 2011; 186: 4994–5003.
- 51 Weinstein RS. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and osteonecrosis. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2012; 41: 595–611.